

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,180	C	03/03/2004	James T. Russell	02-68	4931
30031	7590	02/06/2006		EXAM	INER
		S, INTELLECTU	TANINGCO, MARCUS H		
RESPIRON 1010 MUR	IICS, INC. RY RIDGE	LANE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MURRYSVILLE, PA 15668				2884	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant October	10/792,180	RUSSELL, JAMES T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marcus H. Taningco	2884				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 i	November 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10 and 12-16</u> is/are pending ir	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10 and 12-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2004</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<u> </u>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	ea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Amendments filed 11/17/05 canceling claims 4, 5, and 7-9 while adding new claims 13-16 has been entered. Claims 1-3, 6, 10, and 12-16 are subject to examination herein.

#### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6, 10, and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brunamoti et al. (US 2001/0048079).

Re claims 1 and 10, Brunamoti discloses a gas analyzer comprising: an infrared source 30, an infrared detector 40, a sample cell 17 adapted to be disposed between the source 30 and detector 40, wherein the sample cell 17 includes a gas inlet 15 at a first end portion of the sample cell 17, a gas outlet 16 at a second end portion of the sample cell 17, and a gas flow passage defined in the sample cell 17 between the gas inlet 15 and the gas outlet 16, wherein the gas flow passage is generally parallel to the optical path 80 between the source 30 and the detector 40

Art Unit: 2884

such that the gas flow passage defines a sample chamber 12, wherein a length of the gas flow passage defining the sample chamber 12 is greater than a width of the gas flow passage, and wherein at least a portion of a wall defining the gas flow passage includes an infrared reflective surface [0053] (Figs. 1-3).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brunamoti et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Eckles (US 6,369,387).

Re claims 2 and 3, Brunamoti teaches a portion of a wall defining the gas flow passage includes an infrared reflective surface [0053], but fails to specify the type of material. Eckles teaches the use of gold, a high index material, to reflect infrared beams (Col. 4, 26-29). Thus, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the material 18 taught by Brunamoti to include gold in order to increase reflectance.

Claims 6 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brunamoti et al. in view of O'Leary (US 2002/0153490).

Re claims 6 and 12-16, Brunamoti discloses a gas analyzer comprising: an infrared source 30, an infrared detector 40, a sample cell 17 adapted to be disposed between the source 30

Art Unit: 2884

and detector 40, wherein the sample cell 17 includes a gas inlet 15 at a first end portion of the sample cell 17, a gas outlet 16 at a second end portion of the sample cell 17, and a gas flow passage defined in the sample cell 17 between the gas inlet 15 and the gas outlet 16, wherein the gas flow passage is generally parallel to the optical path 80 between the source 30 and the detector 40 such that the gas flow passage defines a sample chamber 12, wherein a length of the gas flow passage defining the sample chamber 12 is greater than a width of the gas flow passage, and wherein at least a portion of a wall defining the gas flow passage includes an infrared reflective surface [0053] (Figs. 1-3). Brunamoti fails to disclose a high numerical aperture lens. O'Leary teaches a concentration detection system comprising a high numerical aperture lens 86 disposed to collimate radiation received from the source [0040]. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brunamoti to include the lens taught by O'Leary in order to integrate the collimated radiation passing through the sample cell evenly over the detector.

Re claims 13-16, Brunamoti discloses a system according to claim 1, but fails to disclose a high numerical aperture lens. O'Leary teaches a concentration detection system comprising a high numerical aperture (half-ball) lens 86 disposed to collimate radiation received from the source [0040]. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brunamoti to include the lens taught by O'Leary in order to integrate the collimated radiation passing through the sample cell evenly over the detector.

Art Unit: 2884

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus H. Taningco whose telephone number is (571) 272-1848. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,180

Art Unit: 2884

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800